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Presentation from Paul Lambert:

1) NeISS – resources for social simulation and the ‘Ageing and Inequality’ exemplar (www.neiss.org.uk)
2) Social simulation and data management
3) Specification and early results from the ‘Ageing and Inequality’ microsimulation

Presentation from Meik Poschen:

1) NeISS: A look at the live simulation specification tool

Discussion/User communities (MP and PL)
A JISC initiative (2009-12) on collaborative research infrastructure in the UK

- National e-Infrastructure for Social Simulation
  - Expert led simulation demonstrations
  - Combining data resources
  - 5 exemplar application areas
  - Workflows for the simulation analysis (modify and re-specify existing simulation templates)

See Birkin et al. (2010) (includes image source)
Birkin et al. (2010: 3808)
Contributions of the ‘NeISS’ project

- Accessing live / newly updated socio-economic/demographic/geographic data
- Running/supporting complex simulation models with high computational requirements
- Summarising complex outputs (e.g. geographical mapping)
- Allowing flexible data management (e.g. define/compare alternative measures of occupational position, education)
- Allowing multiple specifications of related models for comparisons (e.g. vary a few parameters and re-run)

➢ application areas concerning demographic projections; socio-economic inequalities; geographical influences; model visualisations...
Application on ‘Ageing and inequality’

• Sociological and econometric research agendas studying the circumstances of social inequality

  *who is advantaged/disadvantaged; why is that?*

• We increasingly acknowledge the potential influences of demographic/socio-economic transformations

  *ageing population; changing family structures; educational expansion; immigrant influxes*

• Ample long-running longitudinal survey data resources in UK

  *e.g. BHPS; GHS; LFS; ‘Slow Degrees’ dataset*

• Many previous simulation analyses compare the effects of social changes on social inequalities (e.g. Zaida et al. 2009),
  
  – to our knowledge, there is little attention paid to, or sensitivity analysis of, alternative measures of social structure and inequality other than income - such as of occupations, educational levels
E.g.: This shows projected mean incomes as function of education, with less and more uni. expansion over time.

BHPS w17, Males in employment aged 20-70
Model 1: Income=0.39Educ + 0.1Age -0.001Age2 + 0.13Age*Educ
Model 2: Income=0.17Educ + 0.1Age -0.001Age2 + 0.26Age*Educ
Some possible research questions

• How age-qualifications links impact trends in social inequality
  – Mass education; admissions policies; cognitive versus sheepskin effects

• How will (high/low qualified) cohort-specific immigrant influxes impact upon regional age-occupation-qualification distributions
  – Simulation: increase or decrease proportions within birth cohorts/ethnic groups/regions/sectors with certain qualifications

• How will fine-grained industrial sector transformations impact different age cohorts and subsequent stratification positions
  (e.g. rise of the ‘cultural industries’)
  – Simulation: Modify national and/or local industrial distributions and project forward over time

• How is long term wealth accumulation influenced by longer life expectancies (e.g. changing inheritance patterns; longer pension dependence)
  – Simulation: Model and modify income through work and through inheritance as it influences relative social position at a national level
2) Social Simulation and Data Management

– Simulation analysis intrinsically involves:
  • *data simulated (i.e. constructed)* forward in time
  • *analysis* to summarize progression through time

– Microsimulation
  • Using starting parameters from existing micro-data to model predicted values in the future
    – Year on year values carried through, using transition probabilities
    – E.g. Gilbert & Troitzsch (2005); Gilbert (2008); Zaidi et al. (2009)

...The starting parameters (and projected data) are all subject to socio-economic measures, which are negotiable...
The contribution of simulation

• The general contribution is to model forwards in order to see plausible patterns within complex/responsive systems
  – Needs a good model of influences, projected influences, contextual effects
    (serious models take a lot of work – e.g. Euromod; SAGE)
  – We ordinarily try various inputs to the system
    (e.g. what would happen if we did X)
The challenges of simulation...

Plagiarism cases, Univ. Stirling, simulated simulation

Panel 1, pred = cons + t1*time + t2*time2.
Panel 2, pred = (cons + t1*time + t2*time2)*((2000-(cons + t1*time + t2*time2))/1900) - (100*(pred[_n-1] >= 200))
‘Data management’ choices

• In the social sciences, most concepts can potentially be measured by several different indicators
  – E.g. occupation-based measures; education; family type; individual/household controls

• In ‘e-Social Science’ there are several initiatives in facilitating operationalisation of measures
  – Access to data: e.g. GEODE/GEEDE/GEMDE; Methodbox
  – Tools for linking data: DAMES; Taverna; MyExperiment
GESDE: online services for data access/coordination/organisation

www.geode.stir.ac.uk / www.dames.org.uk/gemde / www.dames.org.uk/geede

Specialist information on occupations, educational qualifications, ethnicity

Data on recodes, standards, & harmonisation
DAMES – data fusion tool

universe=vanilla
executable  = /usr/bin/R
arguments    = --slave --vanilla --file=match_merge1.R --args
/home/pl3/condor/condor_5/wave1.dta
/home/pl3/condor/condor_5/wave17.dta
/home/pl3/condor/condor_5/bhps_combined.dat

notification = Never
log          = test1.log
output       = test1.out
error        = test1.err
queue

• Irods filestore system
• Condor job
submission tool to run pre-defined file linkages or other tasks

\[
\begin{align*}
args & \leftarrow \text{as.factor(commandArgs(trailingOnly = TRUE))}; \\
& \text{options(useFancyQuotes=TRUE)} \\
fileAinp & \leftarrow \text{as.character(args[1])} \\
fileBinp & \leftarrow \text{as.character(args[2])} \\
fileCout & \leftarrow \text{as.character(args[3])} \\
\#
\#library(foreign)
fileA & \leftarrow \text{read.dta(fileAinp, convert.factors=F)} \\
fileB & \leftarrow \text{read.dta(fileBinp, convert.factors=F)} \\
nargs & \leftarrow \text{sum(!is.na(args))} \\
allvars & \leftarrow \text{args[4:nargs]} \\
nargs2 & \leftarrow \left(\text{sum(!is.na(allvars))}\right) \\
first_vars & \leftarrow \text{as.character(allvars[1:(nargs2/2)])} \\
second_vars & \leftarrow \text{as.character(allvars[[nargs2/2+1]):nargs2}} \\
\#
\#
\text{combined2} & \leftarrow \text{merge(fileA, fileB, by.x=c(first_vars), by.} \\
& \text{all.x=T, all.y=F, sort=F, suffixes = c(".x, .y")}} \\
\]
Might social classifications matter in longitudinal simulations?

- Things might be pretty robust regardless of measures.
- Differences between different measures tend to correlate with age, gender, and change over time.
- Differences arising from functional form might be consequential, cf.
  - Crossing a threshold in a {two}-category measure.
  - A continuous model without any thresholds.
Things happen over time and as people age...

The educ profile represents grade inflation. The income/occ profiles could be one or two things - changing rewards with age; plus or not a general upgrading of rewards across birth cohorts.

BHPS wave 17, unweighted, Males in work aged 16-70. N=3590. R2=0.09 for income, 0.03 for occupation and education.
Things happen over time and as people age...

It proves very difficult to separate the experiences of age cohorts from other time trends (gender; industry; administration)

Goldthorpe class scheme harmonised over time

percent of year category

Salariat

Non-manual

Petty-bourg.

Skilled manual

Unskilled

Source: Females from LFS/GHS, using data from Li and Heath (2008)
...Different candidate sociological measures routinely place the same people in ‘different’ positions...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EGP</th>
<th>FCAMSIS</th>
<th>MCAMSIS</th>
<th>NS-SEC</th>
<th>RGSC</th>
<th>N Male</th>
<th>N Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I or II</td>
<td>&gt;66</td>
<td>&gt;66</td>
<td>1 or 2</td>
<td>l or ll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All with 1+ records 1371 1047
Gender trends in social advantage using 3 schemes (16-60 year olds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>RGSC % adv</th>
<th>EGP % adv</th>
<th>Mean CS-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BHPS waves 1-18, x-sectional respondent weighting, all adults aged 16-60 only
Model for age effect upon income, conditional upon effect of having degree level education, coded as 0/1 dummy in (1), and as 0/1 dummy scaled by inverse of birth cohort prevalence in (2).

**Interpretation:** Educ is an important mediator in age-income relationship, but how an education measure is scaled can change interpretation considerably.
Data management in NeISS

• Idea of an infrastructure supporting re-specification of analysis with adjustments to data and models options
  • A set of ‘blessed scripts’ (which run simulations in target areas subject to X variables), featuring options on them allowing different input data, simulation parameters, and variable operationalisations
  • ‘Data fusion tool’ to facilitate data storage and linking
  • Workflow tools to coordinate tasks (see also MP’s talk)
  
• For discussion - something else needed?
Initial results: Different measures sure as hell do matter to the simulation!
(3) Specification and results from the ageing and inequality microsimulation

— Use BHPS as baseline resource, in order to calculate year-on-year* probabilities or rules** from one situation to another

— Probabilities are then applied successively to a baseline dataset, projected forward over time, and that data is then summarised (the simulation)
  (with rules applied to ensure that predicted future values are within a plausible range)

* Have tried either annual updates, or 3-yearly updates, but no great difference so far

** Probability = predicted value from a regression model using current predictors plus being informed by data on an individual case’s history; ‘rule’ = a priori condition applied to future value.
Original data in BHPS on indiv.s aged 33+ (potentially balanced panel only, or allowing other cases)

Original BHPS people carried forward in time) (if agei <= deathi)

Annual refresher sample of ‘young’ adults (=replication of adults c33yrs from earlier waves)
Kill some people: drop if agei <= deathi
Make others anew: append using youth_duplicates.dta
Simulating ageing and inequality in Stata

1. Compile microdata long-format panel data extract (typically w1-18 or w1-8)
2. Derive alternative measurements of crucial explanatory factors (e.g. education in 4 alternative formats)
3. Simulate forward in time, time-period by time-period, for explanatory variables and linear outcomes
4. Derive summary statistics (statsby - time) from real and simulated data
5. Spend ages trying to get a nice graph of the results

NeISS: (1) & (2) can be re-specified/adapted (arguments in do file); (3), (4) and (5) are pre-specified (‘blessed scripts’)
An infrastructural resource could help:

- Large datasets; many permutations; slow computations; complex outputs
program define sim_lin2
    tab $time
    append using ${path9}/${val}.dta /* Add's an extra year's worth of cases */
    append using ${path9}/${val}new.dta /* Add's an input designed to represent young influx (with summarize)
    gsort +pid +$time
    tab famtyp1, missing /* Modal family type assignment (these could be modelled, but not done here)
    replace famtyp1=1 if famtyp1==2 & pid==pid[_n-1] & agei>=25 & $time > $endy
    replace famtyp1=3 if famtyp1==4 & pid==pid[_n-1] & agei>=30 & $time > $endy
    replace famtyp1=3 if famtyp1==5 & pid==pid[_n-1] & agei>=50 & $time > $endy
    replace $educ= $educ[_n-1] if pid==pid[_n-1] & missing($educ) /* Takes last year's education
    codebook $varused, compact /* Outcome variables from original data */
    foreach linout in $varsused {
        capture drop sim_val
        gen sim_val=.n
        replace sim_val='linout' if ~missing(`linout') /* for existing cases, the previous value is used
        capture drop lagout
        gen lagout='linout'[n-1] if pid==pid[_n-1]
        capture drop meanout
        egen meanout=mean(`linout'), by(pid)
        replace meanout=meanout[_n-1] if pid==pid[_n-1] & missing(meanout)
        xi: regress `linout' i.sex agei agei2 dobyi i.$educ i.$educ*dobyi lagout meanout i.famtyp
        capture drop pred
        predict pred, xb /* Next year's data has a value here because it has non-missing lag and mean
        replace sim_val=pred if sim_val==.n & pred >= -100 & pred <= 100
        capture drop maxyear
        egen maxyear=max($time), by(pid)
        replace `linout'=sim_val if $time=maxyear & maxyear >= $endy /* for new cases, the regression
        codebook `linout' sim_val , compact /* Outcome variables after adding in last years data */
    }
end
Want to redo analysis many times...

```
Stata/IC 11.2  D:\29aug\new\workshop1\outputs\simu\simu.do

foreach file in educ4 educ2a educ2b isced educ4t educ2at iscedt { 
  use $path3b\simulated_annual_1to28_'file'.dta, clear 
  gen fimm=exp(lnfimm)  
  capture drop fimm 
  gen fweight2=floor(zxrwght*100) 
  gen fweight2=floor(zxrwght*100) 
  statsby gini_r2'file'=r(gini), saving($path3a\simres_annual_1to28_Lnfimm_'file'.dta, replace) by(year): //

  fastgini fimm [fweight=fweight2] 
}
(3572 missing values generated)
(running fastgini on estimation sample)

command: fastgini fimm [fweight=fweight2]
gini_r2educ4: r(gini)
by: year
(note: file D:\29aug\new\workshop1\outputs\simres_annual_1to28_Lnfimm_educ4.dta not found)
statsby groups
        | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  |
-------------|---|---|---|---|---|
(3572 missing values generated)
(running fastgini on estimation sample)

Source: Simulations on the BHPS balanced panel subsample (weighted by xrwght). Data from 1991-2008; simulations from 2009-2026. ++ lines show impact of educational upgradings.
```
Initial micro-simulation models on BHPS...

• Regression model of outcomes predicted by sociodemographic and socio-economic factors, and lag outcomes, with additional rules or stipulations
  – Rules for continuity/change in family type (age*educ=modal status); and education (stability)
  – Stipulate increase in educational provision; decrease in industrial composition
  – Could consider numerous additional specifications (e.g. transitions responsive to industrial composition)

• Weighted distributional summaries carrying forward last year’s cross-sectional weights
Outcomes - a selection of possible measures of social inequality using BHPS 1991-2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>1991</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>R² with year²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intergenerational correlation (CAMSIS) (all adults)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergenerational correlation (CAMSIS) (men only)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband-wife homogamy (CAMSIS r)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal income Gini (all adults)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal income Gini (men only)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household income Gini (all adults)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational Gini (CAMSIS) (all adults)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household occupational Gini (CAMSIS)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all adults in EGP I</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all adults in EGP I or II</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all adults in RGSC I or II</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BHPS cross-sectional aggregates, weighted using {w}xrwght.
Variations in deterministic parameters

- Here we’ll include in models the influences of educational level and family type (and artificially adjust educational qualifications’ prevalence by age cohort)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Unique</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isced</td>
<td>210571</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.72271</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>highest educational qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zqfedhi</td>
<td>209785</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.957461</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>BHPS 4-fold educational level classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ4</td>
<td>209785</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.808118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BHPS 4-fold educational level classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ2a</td>
<td>209785</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.116917</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Degree education or above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ2b</td>
<td>209785</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2787092</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low school level or below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

..Many more variations of these and other measures are possible, for future consideration…
Methodological topics

– Comparison between analyses which use different measures of position within the inequality structure
  e.g. occupations; education; income; wealth

– Model of the feedback effects on those positions of trends in national and local distributions

  *variously measured*

– Modelling of the feedback effects of trends in national and local demographics (e.g. family structures; immigration)

  *variously measured*
In the following application...

- BHPS balanced and unbalanced panel
  - (carry forward 1991-2008 respondents every year till 2025)
- Predict next year’s outcome from predicted values of a regression with explanatory variables of the current outcome (observed or simulated), plus gender, age, dob, educational level, family type, and age*educ interaction
- Shortcuts: global imputation for family type; ignoring spouse’s changes; ...
- Variable parameters summarized below:
  - 4 different education measures
  - 4 different treatments (increasing educ for later cohorts only)
First evidence on the effects of different sociological classifications

Intergenerational correlation simulations
Father-Child CAMSIS r, all adults

Source: Simulations on the BHPS balanced panel subsample (weighted by xwght). Data from 1991-2007; simulations from 2008-2025. ++ lines show impact of educational upgradings.
Occupational inequality

Gini for current job (CAMSIS), all adults

Source: Simulations on the BHPS balanced panel subsample (weighted by xlwght). Data from 1991-2007; simulations from 2008-2025. ++ lines show impact of educational upgradings.

Gini calculations on income and occupations: so far the regression model generating the simulated values isn’t doing a good job of summarising inequality (as it tends to reduce heterogeneity between individuals)

NeISS session: SSRC, Sep 2011
• When greater within-person dependence is used, however, the variable operationalisation impacts diminish
Testing on real data reveals numerous problems...

- Regression model irons out heterogeneity
- Profile influenced by observed profile
Conclusions

• Simulations and social classifications
  • Wealth of social classifications metadata (www.dames.org.uk)
  • Simulations offer a tool for evaluating classifications (haven’t previously been used for this?)
  • Classification permutations offer new alternatives to the simulation communities
  • {NeISS role in infrastructural support}

Preliminary findings suggest:

• Measures are important – differences between measures can matter a lot, and they can matter more than do differences between treatments!
• Gaps open up: Longer-term longitudinal trends susceptible to differences in measures
More work needed

• Improve the simulation model
  • Allow non-linear outcomes
  • Models potentially enhanced by other supplementary micro-data, e.g. on transitions between rarer states (cf. Zaida et al. 2009b)
  • Better accounts of individuals in their households
  • Evaluation of simulation using existing data

• Building the simulation infrastructure tool
  » Taverna workflows
  » File storage
  » We need users, contacts, and application exemplars
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Simulation models can be used to project over time in order to estimate emergent social-structural patterns. The NeISS project (National e-Infrastructure for Social Simulation, www.neiss.org.uk) is a UK initiative in supporting the construction, estimation and interpretation of social simulation models applied to a variety of scenarios. In this paper, I will present results from one of the exemplar projects within NeISS, an analysis of ‘ageing and inequality’, which is designed to model the development of social inequality over time in response to trends in major socio-demographic and socio-economic changes (such as the aging population, changing family formation patterns, changing patterns in educational provision, and changing occupational/industrial opportunity structures). Social inequality indicators used include measures of income inequality, occupational inequality, and social mobility. The data is initially parameterised around annual transition patterns in contemporary Britain, though it should in principle be generalisable to other data scenarios. A unique contribution of the NeISS project is its capacity to support multiple replications of simulations using different underlying measurement instruments of the same concepts – in this paper, we explore the impact of different approaches to measuring occupational circumstances, educational attainment and ethnicity in the context of the simulation model.
...from the NeISS application...

• “The key substantive question concerns the interaction between ageing effects and [the] nature and impact of socio-economic inequalities. These issues involve complex, non-linear processes that are suited to simulation approaches. The exemplar will enable study of the impact of alternative socio-economic measures and resources within a micro-level simulation analysis of socio-economic inequalities across age groups, premised upon large scale social survey data (such as British Household Panel Survey, Labour Force Survey, General Household Survey and UK Census based data)” (WP 4.1.4)